# Risk Assessment Subcommittee Meeting February 7, 2013

## Attendees

In Person: Cari Franz-West, Laura Wigand, Miranda Ries, Richard Lillie, Steve Bloomfield

*Via tele-Conference:* Austin Docter, Brian Sheldon, Darrell Moudry, David Fyfe, Dave Steele, Jesse DeLoach, Ken Weigardt, Mat Bulldis, and Tom Bloomfield

#### **Purpose**

The Risk Assessment Subcommittee met to address the following questions:

- 1. What should be included for landings data composition and reporting?
- 2. What constitutes an "oyster intended for raw consumption", to include tumbled shellstock?
- 3. What is an appropriate serving size?
- 4. Should water temperature at time of harvest be a risk component?
- 5. What, in the committee's consideration, constitutes a risk of vibriosis?

#### **Meeting Notes**

Landings data composition and reporting:

- General thoughts:
  - o Should make it useful to DOH as well as the growers
  - Use a format growers are already familiar with
  - o Allow submission by fax or email
  - Shucked should be kept separate from shellstock
- Process:
  - o Require form completion to harvest in Vp season
    - Require form as well as risk assessment to harvest in Vp months
  - o Conduct risk assessment by company not by growing area
  - Trigger a risk assessment when an illness occurs
    - DOH to call company and request landings data when illness occurs, if company will not comply then they cannot harvest during Vp months
      - Issue of privacy/confidentiality of information
      - Has the company take on compliance burden after implication
      - Will focus on problematic areas
- Report format:
  - o Use DFW reports:
    - Records are poor
    - Expand to include geoducks and clams
      - Focus on oysters to start since this is a Vp plan
    - Growing areas are not listed
    - Difficult to decipher what is intended for raw market
    - Submitted quarterly, need monthly submission
  - o Base form on DFW form:
    - Add growing area
    - No new forms

# What is an appropriate serving size:

- General thoughts:
  - o There should be a national standard
  - o Should be a standard size so the playing field is even
  - o Should be by oz/gram since each oyster is different
  - o Math is easier if it is 1 oyster, keep it simple
  - Use the WA shellfish standard from Ecology
    - Not available, under development
    - Currently only a fish standard
    - Will include marine and freshwater and be total (not raw) consumption
- Size suggestions:
  - o 6 oysters
    - What is often consumed at a restaurant
  - o 1 oyster
    - What makes you sick, so why does a serving size of 6 matter if we all know it only takes 1 to make someone sick
    - From an epi standpoint, exposure of 1 is the risk, can make you ill so if you're
      protecting public health 1 oyster is an appropriate serving size to quantify risk

# What constitutes acceptable risk:

- 1 in 100,000 is the FDA measure
- Risk appears higher here than elsewhere, so may need to lower, ex. 1 in 50,000
  - o Subcommittee needs this number from DOH to continue
- What is the risk of? Not death
  - No, risk of illness
- Should proceed using 1 in 100,000 until DOH has landings data and can make calculations/move forward in determining the acceptable risk level
- Need to define the landings reporting process in order to proceed with the risk assessment

## What oysters should be included:

- Only small, x-small, ½ shell and specialty
  - o Exclude large, jumbo, shucked not intended for raw consumption
- All oysters, intent doesn't matter, an illness is an illness and an oyster is an oyster
  - o When illness happens due to mishandling it is still an illness
  - Size shouldn't matter since large oysters are eaten raw, regardless of grower's intent
  - o Including large and jumbo would help the risk ratio for the industry
- Should count all in shell oysters, take out size interpretations by individual companies
  - Return to issue of shucked at a later point, industry needs more dialogue before proceeding with bringing shucked product into the risk assessment

# Including water temperature or other environmental factors:

- In graphs: water temperature pattern unclear, no clear relationship with illnesses
- Water temperature not taken consistently by interns
- Surface water is not what oysters are feeding at, need to take at growing depth
- Need more research on role of water temperature
  - o When temp are higher risk is higher, but how much higher and when does it matter
  - o Use buoys or other sources for water temperature data
  - o Correlation there, but need more data

- Harvesters taking water temperature unlikely to work
  - Harvest takes time, conditions and temperatures change
  - May voluntarily take water temperature data and provide to DOH for further analysis
  - Can't standardize a water temperature collection method for the industry
- Target high risk areas for gathering water temperature data

# What constitutes risk:

- Model Ordinance chapter 2 holds the growing area at fault until proven otherwise
  - o DOH conducts investigations of illnesses to prevent growing area closures, post-harvest abuse does not count against growing areas
  - o Some cases have predisposing conditions, may not weight against growing areas
- Presence of tlh or trh/urease indicates risk
- Warm weather
- History, past Vp illnesses
- Tidal movements, geology, water flow, etc.
- Identify targeted risk areas with illness data, geography, etc.

#### **Decisions:**

- Use a pay to play approach where growers must submit harvest data during Vp control months and comply with risk assessment procedure to harvest
- Address shellstock product only, return to issue of shucked meat later in the rule revision process
- Serving size is 1 oyster (exposure risk)
- Proceed with assumption that acceptable risk is 1 in 100,000 pending analysis of landings data/reporting process
- Count all in shell oysters in risk assessment
- Do not include water temperature in the risk assessment

#### **Next Steps:**

- Industry needs to provide landings data
  - Voluntary, phone in production for:
    - May 1-Aug 30 2010, 2011, 2012 (coast July 1-Aug 31)
    - All in shell oysters
    - By growing area
- DOH to:
  - o Identify person at DOH to compile data
  - Prepare Excel to plug data into
  - Invite to industry to submit data
- Request to be issued by DOH within one week